S1 Table. Study Characteristics.

Author / Experimental groups	Inclusion criteria	Group characteristics	Motor task	Outcome measure	Declarative knowledge
_	Stroke: - ≥ 6 months since stroke - Unilateral damage to sensorimotor brain areas	Stroke (implicit – short practice): - N (m/f) = 4 (3/1) - Age (y) = 54 ± 16 - Months since stroke = 60 ± 45 - Stroke location = (sub)cortical-SupT - Lesion side (L/R) = (3/1) - MMSE = 28.0 ± 0.8 - Motor functioning = ? Stroke (implicit - extended practice) - N (m/f) = 4 (4/0) - Age (y) = 59 ± 14 - Months since stroke = 7 ± 1 - Stroke location = (sub)cortical-SupT - Lesion side (L/R) = (3/1) - MMSE = 28.3 ± 1.1 - Motor functioning = ? Stroke (explicit – short practice) - N (m/f) = 4 (2/2) - Age (y) = 55 ± 4 - Months since stroke = 13 ± 7 - Stroke location = (sub)cortical-SupT (3) & Pons (1) - Lesion side (L/R) =	SRT task Procedure: Block = 6 repetitions of 9- item sequence Day 1 6 blocks: - Blocks 1 & 5: random - Blocks 2-4 & 6: repeated For the extended practice group, the above procedure was repeated on day 2 and day 3 Hand used: Ipsilesional hand	Average median response time (ms) per block Implicit motor learning: Mean difference in reaction time between block 5 (random) and 6 (repeated) at the last day of practice	Tests used: - Awareness (% of participants) - Recognition (% correct) - Recall (% correct) - Chance = 25%) Results: Stroke (implicit – short practice): Aware = 25% Recognition = 0% Recall = 8% ± 14 Stroke (implicit - extended practice): Aware = 0% Recognition = 0% Recognition = 0% Recognition = 10% Recognition = 100% Recall = 50% ± 29
Boyd & Winstein [44]	Stroke:	SMC(3/0)/Pons(0/1) - MMSE = 27.8 ± 1.5 - Motor functioning = ? Stroke (Implicit):	1 SRT task	Average median response time	Tests used:
Doja & Winstein [77]	DUORC.	Suoke (implicit).	DICI COSK	111crage median response time	1 Com ablu.

- Stroke group (Implicit learning) - Stroke group (Explicit learning) - Control group (Implicit learning) - Control group (Explicit learning)	-≥6 months since stroke - Unilateral damage to sensorimotor cortex (MCA) - Right hand dominant - MMSE > 25 - No acute medical problems - No uncorrected visual impairment - No history of psychiatric admission, or neurologic impairment Control: - Same criteria + no neurological impairment	- N (m/f) = 5 (4/1) - Age (y) = 59 ± 19 - Months since stroke = 48 ± 30 - Stroke location = Cortical-MCA - Lesion side (L/R) = 4/1 - MMSE = 27.8 ± 1.8 - FMA-UE (0-66) = 27 ± 19 Stroke (Explicit): - N (m/f) = 5 (2/3) - Age (y) = 59 ± 11 - Months since stroke = 33 ± 19 - Stroke location = Cortical-MCA - Lesion side (L/R) = 2/3 - MMSE = 29.0 ± 1.2 - FMA-UE (0-66) = 30 ± 21 Control (Implicit): - N (m/f) = 5 (2/3) - Age (y) = 57 ± 16 - MMSE = 29.6 ± 0.5	Procedure: Block = 10 repetitions of 10-item sequence Days 1-3: 7 blocks: - Blocks 1 & 6: random - Blocks 2-5 & 7: repeated Day 4: - 1 repeated & 1 random block Hand used: Stroke: ipsilesional hand Control: matched to stroke groups	(ms) per block Implicit motor learning: Mean difference in response time between the repeated block at retention and the random block at end of day 1	- Awareness (% of participants) - Recognition (% correct) - Chance = 50% - Recall (% correct) - Chance = 50% Results: Stroke (Implicit): Aware = 20% Recognition = 53% Recall = 33% Stroke (Explicit): Recognition = 73% Recall = 53% Control (Implicit): Aware = 80% Recognition = 66% Recall = 40% Control (Explicit): Recognition = 100% Recall = 86%
		Control (Explicit): - N (m/f) = 5 (1/4) - Age (y) = 55 ± 11 - MMSE = 29.8 ± 0.4			
Boyd & Winstein [50]	Stroke:	Stroke (Implicit):	CT task	Average root-mean-squared	Tests used:
	$- \ge 6$ months since stroke	-N(m/f) = 5(3/2)		error (RMSE) of tracking for	- Awareness (% of participants)
- Stroke group	- Unilateral BG damage	- Age (y) = 58 ± 15	Procedure:	random and repeated segments	- Recognition (% correct)
(Implicit learning)	- Right hand dominant - MMSE > 25	- Months since stroke = 10 ± 6	Block = 10 trials of tracking (30 seconds)	per block	- Chance = 50% - Recall (% correct)
- Stroke group	- No acute medical problems	- Stroke location =	dacking (50 seconds)	Implicit motor learning:	- Recail (% correct) - Chance = 33%
(Explicit learning)	- No uncorrected visual	Subcortical-BG	Days 1-3:	Mean difference in RMSE	
· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	impairment	- Lesion side $(L/R) = 1/4$	- 5 blocks of tracking	during tracking of repeated	Results:
- Control group	- No history of psychiatric	- MMSE = 28.4 ± 1.1	Day 4:	segments at retention and	Stroke (Implicit):
(Implicit learning)	admission, or neurologic	- FMA-UE $(0-66) = 44 \pm 16$	- Retention test: 1 block of	tracking of random segments	Aware = 0%
	impairment	Stroke (Explicit):	tracking	at the end of day 1	Recognition = $46\% \pm 15$
- Control group		-N(m/f) = 5(4/1)			Recall = 0%
(Explicit learning)	Control:	- Age (y) = 51 ± 10	Hand used:		Studio (Euglicia)
	- Same criteria + no neurological impairment	- Months since stroke = 28 ± 28	Stroke: ipsilesional hand Control: matched to stroke		Stroke (Explicit): Recognition = 40% ± 28
	neurorogicai impairment	20	Control. matched to stroke		Kecugiiiii0ii - 40% ± 20

		- Stroke location = Subcortical-BG - Lesion side (L/R) = 1/4 - MMSE = 28 ± 1.4 - FMA-UE (0-66) = 48 ± 20 Control (Implicit): - N (m/f) = 5 (2/3) - Age (y) = 57 ± 16 - MMSE = 29.6 ± 0.5	groups		Control (Implicit): Aware = 0% Recognition = 66% ± 33 Recall = 20% Control (Explicit): Recognition = 73% ± 33
Boyd et al. [71]	Stroke:	Control (Explicit): - N (m/f) = 5 (1/4) - Age (y) = 55 ± 11 - MMSE = 29.8 ± 0.4 Stroke (mild):	SHM task	Both tasks:	Tests used:
- Mild stroke group - Moderate stroke group - Control group	- 2 6 months since stroke - Unilateral brain lesion - Right hand dominant - MMSE > 25 - No acute medical problems - No UE pathology - No uncorrected visual impairment - No history of psychiatric admission, or neurologic impairment - No neurological impairment - Age-matched	Stroke (mind). - N (m/f) = 16 (10/6) - Age (y) = 54 ± 4 - Months since stroke = ? - Stroke location = (sub)cortical-SupT - Lesion side (L/R) = 9/7 - MMSE = 29.5 ± 0.8 - Motor functioning = ? - Orpington score = 2.3 ± 0.1 Stroke (moderate): - N (m/f) = 12 (5/7) - Age (y) = 61 ± 3 - Months since stroke = ? - Stroke location = (sub)cortical-SupT - Lesion side (L/R) = 5/7 - MMSE = 28.8 ± 1.2 - Motor functioning = ? - Orpington score = 3.4 ± 0.2 Control: - N (m/f) = 17 (6/11) - Age (y) = 53 ± 3 - MMSE = 29.7 ± 0.7	Procedure: - SHM & SRT: Block = 10 repetitions of 10-item sequence Day 1: Both tasks: 12 blocks - Block 1 & 11: random - Blocks 2-10 & 12: repeated Hand used: Stroke: ipsilesional hand Control: matched to stroke groups	Average median response time (ms) per block Implicit motor learning: Both tasks: Mean difference in response time between (repeated) block 12 and (random) block 11	- Awareness (% of participants) - Recognition (% correct) - Chance = 50% - Recall (% correct) - Chance = 25% Results: Stroke (Mild): SHM: Aware = 81% SHM: Recognition = 64% ± 30 SHM: Recall = 57% ± 14 SRT: Aware = 56% SRT: Recognition = 64% ± 12 SRT: Recall = 51% ± 19 Stroke (Moderate): SHM: Aware = 85% SHM: Recognition = 72% ± 15 SHM: Recall = 44% ± 22 SRT: Aware = 62% SRT: Recall = 37% ± 15 Control: SHM: Aware = 82% SHM: Recognition = 56% ± 21 SRT: Recall = 37% ± 15

Boyd et al. [73] - Stroke group - Control group	Stroke: - ≥ 6 months since stroke - Damage to BG - MMSE > 25 th percentile - No uncorrected visual impairment - No orthopedic condition interfering with task performance Control: - Same criteria + no neurological impairment	Stroke: - N (m/f) = 13 (8/5) - Age (y) = 59 ± 16 - Months since stroke = 60 ± 53 - Stroke location = Subcortical-BG - Lesion side (L/R) = 2/11 - MMSE = 28.3 ± 2 - FMA-UE (0-66) = 34 ± 18 - Orpington score = 2.8 ± 0.7 Control: - N (m/f) = 13 (5/8) - Age (y) = 60 ± 16 - MMSE = 29.8 ± 0.6	SRT task Procedure: Block = 10 repetitions of 12-item sequence Days 1&2 6 blocks: - Block 1: random - Blocks 2-6: repeated Day 3: - Retention test: 1 repeated block Hand used: Stroke: ipsilesional hand Control: matched to stroke group	Average median response time (ms) per block Implicit motor learning: Mean difference in response time between repeated block at retention, and last random block on day 2	SRT: Aware = 71% SRT: Recognition = 66% ± 19 SRT: Recall = 59% ± 22 Tests used: - Awareness (% of participants) - Recognition (% correct) - Chance = 50% - Recall (% correct) - Chance = 25% Results: Stroke: Aware = 85% Recognition-true = 67% ± 41 Recognition-false = 68% ± 30 Recall = 52% ± 20 Control: Aware = 77% Recognition-true = 82% ± 22 Recognition-false = 83% ± 21 Recall = 52% ± 16
Dirnberger et al. (Exp. 1) [74] - Stroke group - Control group	Stroke: - > 6 months since stroke - Isolated cerebellar lesion - No other cerebral pathology - No history of neurological, psychiatric, or other relevant disease (e.g., arthritis) Control: - No neurological impairment	Stroke: - N (m/f) = 11 (5/6) - Age (y) = 46 ± 15 - Months since stroke = 31 ± 18 - Stroke location = CB - Lesion side (L/R/Bilateral) = 3/4/4 - MMSE = 29 ± 1 - ICARS = 6 ± 4 - PP (UL/UR/BL/BR) = 13±2/12±3/9±2/10±2 Control: - N (m/f) = 13 (6/7) - Age (y) = 45 ± 14 - MMSE = 29 ± 1 - PP (UL/UR/BL/BR) = 14±2/15±2/11±2/12±2	SRT task Procedure: Block = 9 repetitions of 10- item sequences Day 1: 3 runs of 14 blocks: - Blocks 1&2: random - Blocks 3-7: repeated - Blocks 8&9: random - Blocks 10-14: interference Followed by: - 2 random & 5 repeated blocks Hand used: Stroke & Control: Middle and index finger of each	Average median response time (ms) per block Implicit motor learning: Mean difference in response time between final 5 th repeated block and last preceding random block ^a at retention	Tests used: - Awareness (% of participants) - Recognition (% correct) - Chance = 33% - Recall (# items) Results: Stroke: Awareness = 46% Control: Awareness = 62% Both groups - Recognition & recall: "No participant could recall the sequence, and both groups performed at chance when asked to identify the sequence out of three alternatives" (p. 1205)

			hand		
Dirnberger et al. [75] - Stroke group - Control group	Stroke: - > 6 months since stroke - Isolated cerebellar lesion - No other cerebral pathology - No history of neurological or psychiatric disease Control: - No neurological impairment	Stroke: - N (m/f) = 10 (5/5) - Age (y) = 47 ± 15 - Months since stroke = 28 ± 16 - Stroke location = CB - Lesion side (L/R/Bilateral) = 3/4/3 - MMSE = 29 ± 1 - Motor functioning = ? Control: - N (m/f) = 13 (7/5) - Age (y) = 43 ± 14 - MMSE = 29 ± 1 - Motor functioning = ?	SRT task Procedure: - Repeated/Test block = 45 repetitions of 10-item sequence - Random block = 9 repetitions of random 10- item sequence Day 1: 4 runs of 4 blocks: - Block 1: random - Block 2: repeated - Block 3: random - Block 4: test Hand used: Stroke & Control: Middle and index finger of each hand	Average median response time (ms) per block Implicit motor learning: Mean difference in response time between final repeated block (in run 4) and subsequent random block	Tests used: - Awareness (% of participants) - Recognition (% correct) - Chance = 33% - Recall (# items) Results: Stroke: Aware = 50% Recognition = 50% Control: Aware = 58% Recognition = 33% Both groups - Recall: "No participant could recall the sequence" (p. 2212)
Dovern et al. [49] - Apraxic stroke group - Non-apraxic stroke group - Control group	Stroke: - First-ever left MCA-stroke - > 8 days since stroke - Right hand dominant - For apraxic patients: Impaired in: imitating meaningless hand/finger positions, or imitating/actual object-use Control: - Healthy - Age-matched	Stroke (apraxic): - N (m/f) = 18 (9/9) - Age (y) = 57 ± 12 - Days since stroke = 367 [16-1209] - Stroke location =(sub)cortical-MCA - Lesion side (L/R) = 18/0 - WM (CBTT) = 4.8 - ARAT (0-57) = 30 Stroke (non-apraxic): - N (m/f) = 30 (22/8) - Age (y) = 50 ± 12 - Days since stroke = 315 [27-1506] - Stroke location =(sub)cortical-MCA - Lesion side (L/R) = 30/0 - WM (CBTT) = 5.3	SRT task Procedure: Block = 10 repetitions of 6- item sequence Day 1: 5 blocks: - Blocks 1-4: repeated - Block 5: different sequence with equal stimulus(-transition) probabilities as practiced sequence Hand used: Stroke & Control: left hand (ipsilesional/non-dominant hand)	Average median response time (ms) per block Implicit motor learning: Mean difference in response time between block 4 (repeated) and block 5 (random/unpracticed)	Tests used: - Awareness (% of participants) - Recall (# items) Results: Stroke (apraxic): Recall = 2.7 ± 2.1 items Stroke (non-apraxic): Recall = 3.4 ± 2.0 items Control: Recall = 4.4 ± 1.3 items

Exner et al. [76] - Stroke group - Control group	Stroke: - Isolated BG-lesions - ≥ 6 months since stroke - < 70 years - No history of psychiatric or neurological impairment Control: - No neurological impairment - Matched for age, sex, & years of education	- ARAT (0-57) = 42 Control: - N (m/f) = 17 (8/9) - Age (y) = 54 ± 10 - WM (CBTT) = 5.4 Stroke: - N (m/f) = 20 (17/3) - Age (y) = 53 ± 11 - Months since stroke = 24 ± 1.5 - Stroke location = Subcortical-BG - Lesion side (L/R/Bilateral) = 9/9/2 - WAIS-R (IQ) = 100 ± 18 - General incoordination (no/mild/moderate) = 14/3/3 Control: - N (m/f) = 20 (15/5) - Age (y) = 52 ± 9 - WAIS-R (IQ) = 111 ± 18	SRT task Procedure: Block = 10 repetitions of 12-item sequence Day 1: 8 blocks: - Blocks 1 & 6: random - Block 2-5 & 7-8: repeated Hand used: Stroke & Control: middle and index finger of both hands	Average response time (ms) per block Implicit motor learning: Mean difference in response time between block 5 (repeated) and block 6 (random)	Tests used: - Recall (# items) Results: Both groups: "None of the groups scored significantly above random level" (p. 379)
Gómez-Beldarrain et al. [54] - Stroke group - Control group	Stroke: - Isolated CB-lesions - ≥ 6 months since stroke - Right hand dominant - No history of cognitive or neurological impairment Control: - No neuro(psycho)logical or physical impairment - Not using any medication Stroke:	Stroke: - N (m/f) = 14 (10/4) - Age (y) = 61 ± 11 - Months since stroke = 29 ± 22 - Stroke location = CB - Lesion side (L/R) = 9/5 - WAIS-R (IQ) = N/A - PP (UL/UR) = 11/12 Control: - N (m/f) = 10 (7/3) - Age (y)[range] = 62.6 [52-72] - WAIS-R (IQ) = N/A - PP (UL/UR) = 12/13.9 Stroke:	SRT task Procedure: Block = 10 repetitions of 10-item sequence Day 1: 5 blocks: - Blocks 1&5: random - Block 2-4: repeated Hand used: Stroke & Control: Both hands tested separately SRT task	Median response time (ms) per block Implicit motor learning: Mean difference in response time between block 4 (repeated) and block 5 (random) Average response time (ms)	Tests used: - Awareness (% of participants) Results: Stroke: Aware = 0% Control: Aware = 20% "None of the patients achieved explicit knowledge of the sequence and only two controls mentioned having noticed some sort of sequence, but were unable to reproduce the numbers" (p. 28) Tests used:

- Stroke group - Control group	- Unilateral brain damage - Korean MMSE > 24 - No hemianopsia/unilateral spatial neglect - Right hand dominant Control: - No neurological impairment	- N (m/f) = 20 (12/8) - Age (y) = 58 ± 12 - Months since stroke = 3.9 ± 2.6 - Stroke location = (sub)cortical-SupT - Lesion side (L/R) = 8/12 - Korean MMSE = 27.1 ± 1.9 - Motor functioning = ? Control: - N (m/f) = 20 (11/9) - Age (y) = 57 ± 7 - Cognitive/motor functioning = ?	Procedure: Block = 10 repetitions of 10-item sequence Day 1 7 blocks: - Block 1&6: random - Block 2-5 & 7: repeated Day 2 3 retention blocks: - Blocks 1&3: random - Block 2: repeated Hand used: Stroke: ipsilesional hand Control: matched to stroke group	per block Implicit motor learning: Mean difference in response time between block 2 (repeated) and block 3 (random) at retention	- Awareness (% of participants) Results: Stroke: Aware = 35% Control: Aware = 60% Authors state that no subject could recall the exact order of stimuli (p.30-31)
Lee et al. [69] - Stroke group - Control group	Stroke: - Unilateral brain damage - < 3 months after stroke - Korean MMSE > 24 - No hemianopsia, or unilateral spatial neglect - Right hand dominant Control: - No neurological impairment	Stroke: - N (m/f) = 12 (7/5) - Age (y) = 62 ± 12 - Months since stroke = 1.9 ± 0.2 - Stroke location = (sub)cortical-SupT - Lesion side (L/R) = 5/7 - Korean MMSE = 26.7 ± 0.4 - Motor functioning = ? $\frac{\text{Control:}}{\text{Control:}}$ - N (m/f) = 12 (7/5) - Age (y) = 57 ± 7 - Cognitive/motor functioning = ?	Procedure: Block = 10 repetitions of 12-item sequence Day 1 7 blocks: - Blocks 1&6: random - Block 2-5 & 7: repeated Hand used: Stroke: ipsilesional hand Control: matched to stroke group	Average response time (ms) per block Implicit motor learning: Mean difference in response time between block 5 (repeated) and block 6 (random)	Tests used: - Awareness (% of participants) Results: Stroke: Aware = 33% Control: Aware = 67% [Authors state that no subject could recall the exact order of stimuli (p. 4)]
Meehan et al. [79] - Stroke group - Control group	Stroke: - ≥ 12 months since stroke - Subcortical stroke - Right hand dominant - MMSE > 25 th percentile - No earlier stroke - No psychiatric, neurologic,	Stroke: - N (m/f) = 9 (6/3) - Age (y) = 64 ± 6 - Months since stroke = 53 ± 47 - Stroke location = Subcortical-SupT	CT task Procedure: Block = 10 trials of tracking (20 s) Day 1:	Average root-mean-squared error (RMSE) of tracking for random and repeated segments for each block Implicit motor learning: Mean difference in RMSE for	Tests used: - Recognition (%) - Chance = 50% Results: Stroke: Recognition = 54% Control: Recognition = 53%

	orthopedic or uncorrected	- Lesion side (L/R) = 0/9	- 1 random & 1 repeated	repeated and random segments	
	visual impairment	- MMSE = 29.3 ± 0.7	block	at retention (day 7)	
	Visual impairment	- FMA-UE $(0-66) = 54 \pm 12$	Days 2-6: 5 blocks	an retention (any 7)	
	Control:	(3 33) 2 1 = 12	Day 7: Same as day 1		
	- No neurological	Control:			
	impairment	-N(m/f) = 9(4/5)	Hand used:		
	- Age- and sex-matched	- Age (y) = 63 ± 7	Stroke: contralesional hand		
		- MMSE = 29.7 ± 0.5	Control: left (non-		
		- Motor functioning = ?	dominant) hand		
Orrell et al. [32]	Stroke:	Stroke (errorless):	Balance task:	Deviation from horizontal	Tests used:
	$- \ge 12$ months since stroke	-N (m/f) = 5 (4/1)		axis, expressed as average	- # verbal movement-related
- Stroke group	- First-ever stroke	- Age (y) = 49 ± 16	Errorless learning:	root-mean-squared error	rules
(Implicit/errorless learning)	- MMSE > 24	- Months since stroke = ?	Task difficulty	(RMSE)	
	- Discharged from all	- Stroke location & side =	progressively increased		Results:
- Stroke group	rehabilitation services	(sub)cortical-SupT =	throughout practice	Implicit motor learning:	Stroke (errorless):
(Explicit/discovery learning)		4(2L/2R), CB=1	Discovery learning:	Balance performance at	Number of rules: 1.4 ± 1.1
	Control:	- MMSE = 26.8 ± 0.8	Task difficulty similar	delayed retention test	
- Control group	- No neurological	- BBS $(0-56) = 38 \pm 5.8$	across trials; Participants		Stroke (discovery):
(Implicit/errorless learning)	impairment	Stroke (discovery):	need to discover verbal		Number of rules: 3.4 ± 1.3
		-N(m/f) = 5(5/0)	rules of how to perform		
- Control group		- Age (y) = 55 ± 12	task		Control (errorless):
(Explicit/discovery learning)		- Months since stroke = ?			Number of rules: 1.8 ± 0.8
		- Stroke location =	Procedure:		
		(sub)cortical-SupT	Block = 1 trial of 60		Control (discovery):
		- Lesion side (L/R/Bilateral)	seconds of balancing on		Number of rules: 2.7 ± 1.0
		= 1/3/1	balance board		
		- MMSE = 25.8 ± 1.3			
		- BBS $(0-56) = 38 \pm 9$	Day 1 (acquisition + post-		
			test)		
		Control (errorless):	- 24 repeated blocks		
		-N (m/f) = 6 (3/3)	- 4 blocks: ST-performance		
		- Age (y) = 67 ± 9	- 4 blocks: DT-performance		
		- MMSE = 29.2 ± 0.7	(kettle lift/number recall)		
		- BBS $(0-56) = 52 \pm 1$			
		Control (discovery):	Day 8 (delayed retention):		
		-N(m/f) = 6(3/3)	- 2 blocks ST-performance		
		- Age (y) = 63 ± 5			
		- MMSE = 29.3 ± 0.78			
		$-$ BBS $(0-56) = 54 \pm 1$			
Orrell al. [77]	Stroke:	Stroke:	SRT task	Median response time (ms) per	Tests used:
	- ≥ 12 months since stroke	-N(m/f) = 7(2/5)		block	- Awareness (% of participants)
- Stroke group	- Hemiparesis	$- Age (y) = 60 \pm 10$	Procedure:		- Recall/Prediction (# errors)
- Control group	- Able to understand	- Months since stroke = $35 \pm$	Block = 10 repetitions of	Implicit motor learning:	- Chance = 90 errors/30 correct

	instructions - MMSE > 24 - Discharged from all rehabilitation services - No hemianopsia or orthopedic impairment Control: - No neurological impairment	13 - Stroke location = (sub)cortical-AC - Lesion side (L/R) = 0/7 - MMSE = 26.3 ± 1.0 - General motor impairment level (0-14) = 5.3 ± 2.4 Control: - N (m/f) = 9 (4/5) - Age (y) = 47 ± 9 - MMSE = 29.1 ± 1.1 - Motor functioning = ?	12-item sequence Days 1&2 (acquisition): - Blocks 1-17 & 19-20: repeated - Block 18: random Day 2 (transfer task): - 2 random & 2 repeated blocks Day 16 (delayed retention) - SRT: 6 repeated blocks - Transfer: 2 repeated & 2 random blocks	Mean difference in response time between block 17 (repeated) and block 18 (random) at end of acquisition phase on day 2	Results: Aware = ? Stroke: Recall = 47 errors ± 10 [i.e., 73 correct responses] Control: Recall = 27 errors ± 9 [i.e., 93 correct responses]
			Hand used: Stroke: Ipsilesional hand Control: Right hand		
Pohl et al. [78]	Stroke:	Stroke:	SHM task	Mean response (ms) time per	Tests used:
- Stroke group	- ≥ 60 years old - > 6 months since stroke	-N (m/f) = 47 (29/18) - Age (y) = 71 ± 6	Procedure:	block	- Awareness (% of participants) - Recall (# items)
- Control group	- Stroke affecting AC	- Mgc (y) = 71 ± 0 - Months since stroke = $43 \pm$	Block = 10 repetitions of 8-	Implicit motor learning:	- Recair (# Items)
	- Community-dwelling	61	item sequence	Mean difference in response	Results:
	- Right hand dominant	- Stroke location =		time between block 4	Stroke:
	- MMSE > 17	(sub)cortical-AC	Day 1 (practice)	(repeated) and 5 (random) at	Aware = 68%
	- Able to sit independently - No upper extremity	- Lesion side (L/R) = ? - MMSE = 27.5	- Blocks 1 & 5-6: random - Blocks 2-4 & 7-8:	end of practice	Recall = 2.8 items ± 2.7
	impairment	- MINISE = 27.5 - Motor functioning = ?	repeated		Control:
	- No uncorrected visual	- General level of impairment	Day 2 (retention)		Aware = 75%
	impairment	Orpington:	- 2 repeated blocks		Recall = 2.4 items ± 1.8
	- No apraxia	- 18 patients: mild (< 3.2)			200000 = 100
		- 9 patients: moderate (3.2-			
	Control:	5.2)	Hand used:		
	- Same criteria + no	- 20 patients: ?	Stroke: ipsilesional hand		
	neurological impairment		Control: matched to stroke		
		<u>Control:</u>	group		
		- N (m/f) = 36 (15/21) - Age (y) = 73 ± 6			
		- Age (y) = 73 ± 0 - MMSE = 28.6			
Pohl et al. [72]	Stroke:	Stroke (mild):	SHM task	Mean response time (ms) per	Tests used:
	$- \ge 50$ years old	-N (m/f) = 22 (13/9)		block	- Awareness (% of participants)
- Mild stroke group	- 30-150 days since stroke	- Age (y) = 72 ± 9	Procedure:		- Recall (# items)
- Moderate stroke group	- No pre-existing disability	- Months since stroke = ?	Block = 10 repetitions of 8-	Implicit motor learning:	
- Control group	- Community dwelling	- Stroke location =	item sequence	Mean difference in response	Results:

Rösser et al. [70] - Stroke group (levodopa placebo condition)	- Right hand dominant - MMSE > 23 - Able to sit independently - Orpington score ≤ 5.2 - No uncorrected visual impairment - No apraxia Control: - No history of neurologic impairment - Right hand dominant Stroke: - 50-80 years old - (Sub)cortical stroke - > 1 year since stroke - Initial MRC <2, but current MRC ≥ 4.5 - MMSE ≥ 27 - No untreated cardiac, metabolic, or psychiatric disease - No drug (ab)use - No hypersensitivity for levodopa/carbidopa	(sub)cortical-SupT - Lesion side (L/R) = 13/9 - MMSE = 28.6 ± 2 - FAS (0-30) = 28 ± 2 - Orpington score < 3.2 Stroke (moderate): - N (m/f) = 15 (5/10) - Age (y) = 74 ± 9 - Months since stroke = ? - Stroke location = (sub)cortical-SupT - Lesion location (L/R) = 6/9 - MMSE = 26.6 ± 2.1 - FAS (0-30) = 27 ± 5 - Orpington: 3.2-5.2 Control: - N (m/f) = 30 (5/25) - Age (y) = 76 ± 7 - MMSE = 28.8 ± 1.3 - FAS (0-30) = 28 ± 1 Stroke: - N (m/f) = 18 (13/5) - Age (y) = 66 ± 7 - Months since stroke = 40 ± 25 - Stroke location = (sub)cortical-SupT - Lesion side (L/R) = 11/7 - MMSE = 29.4 ± 0.6 - RMA-AS (0-15) = 12 ± 2	Day 1: 8 blocks: - Blocks 1-2 & 5: random - Blocks 3-4 & 6: repeated Hand used: Stroke: ipsilesional hand Control: - 20 controls: right hand - 20 controls: left hand SRT task Procedure: Block = 500 keypresses with shorter and longer sequential elements intermixed with random ones (i.e., 85% repeated and 15% random per block) Session 1: 2 blocks (with levodopa- placebo) Hand used:	Mean response (ms) time for random and sequenced items per block Implicit motor learning: Mean difference in response time between random and sequenced items per block (for the placebo condition)	Stroke (mild): Aware = 55% Stroke (moderate): Aware = 47% Control: Aware = 47% All three groups combined: Recall: 1.7 ± 2.2 "There was no difference between groups in the number of responses of the repeated sequence that could be recalled" (p. 251) Tests used: - Awareness (% of participants) Results: Aware: ?
Shin et al. [51]	Stroke: - Unilateral BG-stroke	Stroke: - N (m/f) = 4 (3/1)	Hand used: Contralesional hand SRT task	Median response time (ms) per block	Tests used: - Awareness (% of participants)
- Stroke group - Control group	Control: - No neurologic impairment	- $N (\ln T) = 4 (3/T)$ - Age (y) = 65 ± 9 - Months since stroke = ? - Stroke location =	Procedure: Block = 7 repetitions of 8- item sequence	Implicit motor learning: Mean difference in response	- Recall (# items) Results:

	- Age-matched	Subcortical-BG - Lesion side (L/R) = 2/2 - MMSE = 26.8 ± 3.9 - Fast-tapping task (interval	Day 1 7 practice blocks: - Blocks 1-2: random, 3-7:	time between first repeated and subsequent random block in post-test no. 1 (random stimuli location; spatial	Stroke & Control Aware: ? Recall: all participants < 3
		in ms): Contralesional hand = $240 \pm$	repeated 3x4 post-test blocks	learning test) ^b	"None of the control participants or patients could correctly report
		98	- Blocks 1&4: repeated, 2-3		parts of either sequence longer
		Ipsilesional hand = 203 ± 30	either:		than two successive sequence
			1) random stimuli location		elements." (p. 78)
		Control:	2) random interstimulus		
		-N(m/f) = 7(5/2)	interval		
		- Age (y) = 68 ± 4 - MMSE = (all ≥ 29)	phase-shift interstimulus interval		
		- Fast-tapping task (interval	interval		
		in ms):	Hand used:		
		Dominant hand = 171 ± 16	Stroke: Both hands tested		
			separately		
			Control: Dominant (right) hand		
Vakil et al. [55]	Stroke:	Stroke:	SRT task	Median response time (ms) per	Tests used:
Vakii et al. [55]	- Isolated BG-lesions	-N (m/f) = 16 (11/5)	SICI task	block	- Recall/Prediction (# items)
- Stroke group	- No previous head trauma,	- Age $(y) = 59 \pm 11$	Procedure:	Sick	- Chance = 2.5
- Control group	or neurological/endocrine	- Months since stroke = 16	$\overline{\text{Block} = 10}$ repetitions of	Implicit motor learning:	
	disease	- Stroke location =	10-item sequence	Mean difference in response	Results:
	- No drug-use that could alter	Subcortical-BG		time between block 4	Stroke:
	cognitive performance	- Lesion side $(L/R) = 5/11$	Day 1 (practice):	(repeated) and block 5	Recall = 6.1 ± 1.9
	- No dementia	- Years of education = 11 ± 3	- Blocks 5: random	(random)	
	Control:	- Motor functioning = ?	- Blocks 1-4: repeated Day 2 (retention): 1		$\frac{\text{Control:}}{\text{Recall} = 5.4 \pm 1.9}$
	- Age- & education-matched	Control:	repeated block		Recall = 3.4 ± 1.9
	- Right handed	-N (m/f) = 16 (7/9)	repeated block		
	- No neurological	- Age (y) = 58 ± 8	Hand used:		
	impairment	- Years of education = 12 ± 3	Stroke & Control: Middle		
		- Motor functioning = ?	and index finger of each hand		

NB: AC = Anterior circulation; ARAT = Action Research Arm Test; BG = Basal ganglia; BBS = Berg Balance Scale; CB = Cerebellum; CBTT = Corsi block tapping test; CT = Continuous tracking task; DT = Dual-task; FAS = Florida Apraxia Screen; FMA-UE = Upper extremity subscale of Fügl-Meyer Assessment; ICARS = International Cooperative Ataxia Rating Scale (motor impairment scale); MCA = Middle cerebral artery; MMSE = Mini-Mental State Examination; MRC = Medical Research Council scale for muscle strength; PP (UL/UR/BL/BR) = Purdue Pegboard (unilateral left hand score/unilateral right hand score/bilateral right hand score); RMA-AS = Rivermead Motor Assessment, arm section; SHM = Serial hand movement task SMC = Sensorimotor cortex; SRT = Serial reaction time task; ST = Single-

task; SupT = Supratentatorial; WAIS-R = Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale – Revised; WM = working memory; # = number of; ^a Two different random blocks were tested, Re and Rm. For the Re random blocks, each stimulus and transition between stimuli was of equal probability. For the Rm random blocks, stimulus (-transition) probability was the same as for the sequence learned in the practice blocks. We chose to only look at the difference in reaction times between the last Rm (and not Re) block and the final repeated sequence block, as this provides the most conservative measure of implicit motor learning.

^b This test of spatial learning is actually the conventional test of implicit motor learning (i.e., difference in reaction time to random and sequenced stimuli)